

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

FILING DATE

UNITED STATES SEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

08/549,322 \ 10/27/95	PENNETREAU	P SLVAY-0829
SPENCER & FRANK	12M2/0829	EXAMINER
		SIEGEL, A
SUITE 300 EAST	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE NW WASHINGTON DC 20005-395	5	1204 22
		DATE MAILED: 08/29/97
This is a communication from the examiner in charge of yo COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	our application.	· · · · ·
OFFIC	E ACTION SUMMARY	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/4/9	`}	
This action is FINAL.		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,	cept for formal matters, <b>prose</b> 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	cution as to the merits is closed in
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is	s set to expire	month(s), or thirty days,
whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this commuthe application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133 1.136(a).	nication. Failure to respond v	ithin the period for response will cause
Disposition of Claims	,	
$\sqrt{\frac{1-29}{1-29}}$	• .	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)		
☐ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)		
☐ Claims		
Application Papers	•	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D	Drawing Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are ob	jected to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		is  approved  disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED c	opies of the priority documents	s have been
received.		
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial I	Number)	
received in this national stage application from the	he International Bureau (PCT I	Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:		·
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	е).
Attachment(s)		
☐ Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892		•
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, P	aper No(s)	· ·
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413		
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, F	PTO-948	er er

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

APPLICATION NUMBER

Serial Number: 08/549,322

Art Unit: 1204

Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Wairaevens et al ('474) in view of Rao (WO 89/12614) and further in view of Lovelace for the reasons given in paper No. 18.

Applicants arguments and the declaration of Francine Janssens under 37 C.F.R. 1.132 have been carefully considered but are not deemed persuasive.

The declarant urges that "the reactivity of vinyl chlorides is not analogous to the reactivity of vinylidene chloride". However, the instant rejection is not predicated upon an assumption of analogous reactivity but upon the reasonable expectation that a known useful product would be obtained if vinyl chloride is used in the prior art process.

The declarant urges that "there is no reasonable expectation of obtaining a known useful product with high selectivity when replacing the vinylidene chloride starting material with vinyl chloride". However, Rao clearly discloses a similar liquid phase reaction with HF wherein both vinyl chloride and vinylidene chloride are utilized to produce analogous products. Thus, the prior art establishes a reasonable expectation which would have motivated one of ordinary skill in the art.

The instant rejection does not require the same reactivity or yield for vinyl chloride and vinylidene chloride and it is axiomatic that different starting materials would result in different product distributions and yields. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that different optimum reaction conditions would apply to different starting materials.

Serial Number: 08/549,322 Page **3** 

Art Unit: 1204

The use of vinyl chloride and vinylidene chloride in hydrofluorination processes in general is so well known that the use of one would immediately suggest the use of the other to one of ordinary skill in the art.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Siegel whose telephone number is (703) 308-4692.

AMS August 28, 1997

> Alan Siegel Primary Examiner Art Unit 1204